

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



De

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/695,612	10/24/2000	Joseph B. Richey II	INVA-Q-CIP-2	2971
75	90 08/30/2002			
Hudak & Shunk Co LPA			EXAMINER	
Daniel J Hudak 7 West Bowery Street Suite 808			WEISS JR, JOSEPH FRANCIS	
Akron, OH 44	308-1133		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3761	
			DATE MAILED: 08/30/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.





Office Action Summary

Application No. 09/695,612

Applicant(s)

Richey et al.

Examiner

Joseph Weiss

Art Unit 3761



The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	on the cover sheet with the correspondence address				
Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. • Extensions of time may be evailable under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the					
mailing date of this communication.					
 If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply a Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). 	and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ne application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status					
1) X Responsive to communication(s) filed on Oct 24, 2	000 .				
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This act	tion is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
4) 💢 Claim(s) <u>1-27</u>	is/are pending in the application.				
4a) Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.				
5) Claim(s)	is/are allowed.				
6) Claim(s)	is/are rejected.				
7) Claim(s)	is/are objected to.				
8) 💢 Claims <u>1-27</u>	are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.				
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.					
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a) 🗌 All b) 🔲 Some* c) 🔲 None of:					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
*See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).					
 a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) ☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. 					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	6) Other:				

Art Unit: 3761

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restriction

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - I. Claims 1-15 & 20-24, drawn to An apparatus & method for compression and storage of gas, classified in class 128, subclass 205.11.
 - II. Claims 16-19, drawn to A Radial Compressor, classified in class 417, subclass 269.
 - III. Claims 25-27, drawn to A Motor Driven apparatus for the compression of gas, classified in class 417, subclass 321.
- 2. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons: Inventions I & II and II are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because the inventions of groups I & III do not require all the particulars of the subcombination of III. The subcombination has separate utility such as the compression of any gas.
- 3. Inventions I and III are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions have significantly different features in that group III monitors and adjusts operation

Art Unit: 3761

based upon sensed partial pressures, whereas the invention of group I operates purely upon only the receipt of gas at whatever concentration is given and does not modulate or have its operation based upon sensed partial pressures.

- 4. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 5. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 6. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Groups I is not required for Group II & III, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 7. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: The invention of group I possess two patently distinct species as follows:

 Species A The device that requires a source of concentrated gas, a radial piston compressor and a high pressure storage container.

Species B - The device that requires only a buffer tank and radial compressor.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claim is generic.

Art Unit: 3761

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

8. A telephone call was made to Mr. Dan Hudak on 29 Aug to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

9. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently

Art Unit: 3761

named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(I).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the Examiner should be directed to Joseph F. Weiss, Jr., whose telephone number is (703) 305-0323. The Examiner can normally be reached from Monday-Friday from 8:30 AM to 4:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the Examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the Examiner's supervisor, Aaron Lewis, can be reached at telephone number (703) 308-0716. The official fax number for this group is (703) 305-3590 or x3591.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0858.

August 29, 2002

GLENN K. DAWSON DRIMARY EXAMINER